

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 25-30, 33, 37, 39-50, 51-98 currently stand in the application. Claims 1, 19, 39, 51, 57, 63, 69, 78, 83, 88, 93 are in independent form. Applicant herein adds new claims 51-98.

The Examiner indicated that claims 1-50 are allowable but objected to certain claims for informalities related to lack of proper antecedent basis. Applicant herein amends claims 1, 19, 43, 44, 45 to correct the informalities identified by the Examiner. Applicant has further amended independent claims 1, 19, and 39 to add further clarity. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-4, 6-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 25-30, 33, 37, 39-50.

Applicant has further herein added new claims 51-93, of which 51, 57, 63, 69, 78, 83, 88, 93 are in independent form.

Claim 51 is a method claim analogous to claims 1 and 57. Claim 51 recites inter alia:

“. . . upon disconnecting the portable wireless communication device from the computing device not enabling the computing device wireless Internet access through the wireless communication component of the portable wireless communication device.”

Support for “disconnecting the portable wireless communication device” can be found for example in paragraphs [51], [63], [64], [65], [83], [88], [99], [127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 57 is analogous to claim 51 with similar elements.

Claim 63 recites inter alia “. . . intercepting a disconnection signal of the wireless communication device at the computing device and in response to intercepting a disconnection signal not enabling the computing device wireless Internet access.” Support for “intercepting a disconnection” can be found for example in paragraph [51] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 69 is analogous to claim 1 but adds additional elements that include “. . . upon disconnection of the portable wireless communication device from the

computing device not providing the computing device the protected data for wireless Internet access through the wireless communication component of the portable wireless communication device.” Support for the above can be found for example in paragraphs [51], [63], [64], [65], [83], [88], [99], and [127] of the as-filed specification.

New claims 78, 83, 88, and 93 are analogous to claim 1 except that they do not recite a wireless communication component and wireless internet access. However, claims 78, 83, 88, 93 recite inter alia enabling a “phone calling” function. Support for phone calling can be found for example in Paragraph [127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 78 further recites private memory, for example “. . . a memory circuit storing phone calling software, the memory circuit including a private memory area storing protected data, the private memory area not being viewable or accessible by a user.” This element is analogous to claim 1 for example.

In addition claim 78 recites inter alia:

. . . a memory controller having a processor that is executable to:
manage communication between the memory circuit and the USB interface, and
facilitate an autorun operation for automatically launching and executing on the computing device the phone calling software upon connecting the USB interface to the computing device, the autorun operation includes accessing protected data in the private area of the memory circuit;

Support for memory controller and its functionalities can be found for example in paragraphs [46], [47], [48], and FIGS. 1-5 of the as-filed specification. In addition to the above, claim 78 recites:

. . . whereby the portable communication device is operable to launch and execute the phone calling software automatically upon connection of the portable communication device to the computing device to enable phone calling function at the computing device, and upon disconnection of the portable communication device from the computing device automatically not enabling phone calling function at the computing device.

“[T]o launch and execute the phone calling software automatically upon connection of the portable communication device” are analogous to the element

recited by claim 1. Support for "disconnection of the portable communication device" can be found for example in paragraphs [51], [63], [64], [65], [83], [88], [99], and [127] of the as-filed specification.

Claim 83, 88, and 93 are analogous to claim 78 with similar elements.

Applicant accordingly further asserts that new claims 51-98 are in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (360) 750-9931 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jon C. Real/

JON C. REALI
USPTO Reg. No. USPTO 54391

RYLANDER & ASSOCIATES PC
406 West 12th Street
Vancouver, Washington 98660
(360) 750-9931